1 2	LAW OFFICES OF ERIK BABCOCK ERIK BABCOCK (Cal. 172517) 717 Washington St., 2d Floor Oakland CA 94607 Tel: (510) 452-8400 Fax: (510) 201-2084	
3		
4	erik@babcocklawoffice.com	
5	Attorney for Defendant JASON CARUCCI	
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11	LINITED STATES OF AMEDICA	Case No. CR 19-cr-00574 BLF
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDING
14	v.	TIME
15		
16	JASON CARUCCI,	
17	Defendant.	
18		
19	Defendant Carucci is on for status on March 24, 2020. The parties are still in the process of	
20	•	
21	negotiating a disposition. Defense counsel is still investigating the case. Counsel's ability to do so has	
22	been impeded by the recent coronavirus health crisis. The parties therefore stipulate and agree that the	
23	status conference currently set for March 24, 2020 may be vacated and rescheduled for June 16, 2020.	
24	It is further stipulated that the time from the signing of this stipulation to June 16, 2020 may be	
25	excluded from the time in which this case must be brought to trial under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.	
26	3141(h)(7)(A), because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the	
27		

basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest

1 of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial based on defense counsel's need to investigate and prepare 2 the case. 3 SO STIPULATED. 4 DATED: March 17, 2020 5 JOHN BOSTIC Assistant U.S. Attorney 6 7 DATED: March 17, 2020 /S/ ERIK BABCOCK 8 Attorney for Defendant CARUCCI 9 10 **PROPOSED ORDER** 11 12 13 Good cause appearing, the status conference set for March 24, 2020 is hereby vacated and 14 rescheduled for June 16, 2020. 15 16 Based on the stipulation of the parties above, the Court finds that the time from March 24, 2020 to 17 June 16, 2020 may be excluded from the time in which this case must be brought to trial under the Speedy 18 Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. 3141(h)(7)(A), because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at 19 defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action 20 outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial based on defense counsel's 21 need to investigate and prepare the case. 22 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25 DATED: March 18, 2020 26 HON, BETH L. FREEMAN 27

28

United States District Judge